Urgent

1 Resident is considering the question of extending the period of appointment of the Additional Munsiff with effect from 20th December 1939. Before addressing the Political Department, he would be glad to know from the District Judge, through the District Magistrate, about the progress of work so far on the file of the Additional Munsiff, and also on the file of the Munsiff Magistrate as a result of relief granted to him on the Civil side, and how long it would take for work to be brought to normal. (Civil & Criminal) .

H Rueleyan.

21/11/39.

Dt Judge.
Dt. Magistrate.

District Judge, C. & N. Station, Bangalore

u/o. Dated the 21st November 1939.

P.T.O.

2 (1) Progress of work on the file of the Additional Munsif

The Court of the Additional Munsif was constituted with effect from 20-6-1939, 101 Original Suits from the file of the ~~Munsif-Magistrate~~ were transferred to the Additional Munsif and he was also invested with Small Cause jurisdiction up to Rs 100/-.

3 Original Suits.

Including 2 Suits restored to file the Additional Munsif had 103 Original Suits for disposal. Of these 67 Suits have been disposed of up to date, leaving 36 Suits still pending.

32 Original Civil Miscellaneous Petitions have also been disposed of.

4 Small Cause Work.

722 Small Cause Suits, 1013 Execution Petitions and 250 Civil Miscellaneous Petitions have been disposed of from 20th June 1939.

5 The Original Suits transferred to the file of the Additional Munsif were comparatively heavy cases and he had Small Cause work in addition. In my opinion the progress he has made is satisfactory and I consider that he has worked well.

6 (2) Munsif-Magistrate's Court.

I enclose two Statements (I and II) showing the progress of work on the file of the Munsif-Magistrate - (Civil and Criminal) since the Additional Munsif was appointed; and also another Statement (III) showing the institutions and disposals in his Court for three years. It will be noticed from Statement III that the Munsif-Magistrate's work on the Criminal side has steadily been

P.T.O.

on the increase and will continue to be so in future.

7 There has also been no fall in the pendency of Civil Suits on the file of the Munsif-Magistrate since the appointment of the Additional Munsif. This can be seen from the following statement of pendency on the file of the Munsif-Magistrate.

	No. of Original Suits pending on 21-6-1939.	No. of Origina Suits pending on 24-11-1939.
More than one year old.	22 *	37
Less than one year old.	137	135
Total	159	172.

* 125 − 103 = 22 — Vide Statement I

@ This was just after the transfer & cannot be regarded as the normal pendency.

8 The Munsif-Magistrate has during the five months disposed of 104 Original Suits which I consider satisfactory.

9 In my opinion a whole-time Munsif for the Station on a permanent basis is necessary. That will obviate the necessity of investing the Sheristadar or Registrar, as the case may be, of the District Court, with Small Cause Jurisdiction.

10 The creation of the post of Munsif-Magistrate was the outcome of Mr. Boag's report which was written 15 years ago. I would point out that many of the conclusions reached in that report, however correct they might have been 15 years ago, are inapplicable to the conditions now existing on account of the rapid growth and development of the C. & M. Station during these years, both from the point of view of population and trade.

The extra cost involved will not be much especially

in view of the fact that the allowance of Rs 90/- per mensem paid to the Registrar could be saved. Further, Administration of Civil Justice in the Station is yielding a large surplus. With the whole-time Munsif functioning, cases will be disposed of more expeditiously and I have generally found that ~~the~~ larger the turn-over the greater will be the filings; and consequently it is even probable that there may be increase in revenue, if the proposal is given effect to.

12 The continuance of the post of the Additional Munsiff for a further period of six months will - apart from the observations made above - be necessary to dispose of the cases now pending before him.

P. Nadanam
District & Sessions Judge

H. Trevelyan Esq., I.C.S.,
Secretary to the Hon'ble the Resident
in Mysore, Bangalore.

CONFIDENTIAL.
VERY URGENT.

U. O. No. C/52.
29.11.1939.

THROUGH

H. H. Carleston Esq., I.C.S.,
Collector and District Magistrate,
C. & M. Station, Bangalore.

13 I think an extension of at least six months will be necessary though it can be provided ~~th~~ that the Court will be discontinued earlier, if thought fit.

14

I am strongly in favour of almost complete bifurcation of the posts

H.H. Carbutt

3.12.39.

Urgent

J.W. 5/XII/39

20

The Secretary to the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore.

H.O. No: 4306-C.39 of 3.12.39.

STATEMENT I.

In the Court of the District Munsiff, Civil and Military Station, Bangalore.

No. of Original Suits pending on 20-6-1939.	Transferred to Addl. District Munsiff on 20th. June 1939.	Filed from 20-6-39 to 24-11-39 including restored suits.	Disposed of up to 24-11-39.	Pending on 24-11-39.
More than one year old - 125	103		By Addl. Dt. Munsiff 67	In Addl. Dt. Munsiff Court. 36
Less than one year old - 137	Nil	117	104 By Dt. Munsiff.	172 (in the 22 nd transferred) In Dt. Munsiff's Court
Total	262	103	117	171	208

Remarks. Out of the 208 suits that are pending in both the Courts on 24-11-39, 36 suits are pending for over a year on the file of the Additional District Munsiff, and 37 suits on the file of the District Munsiff's Court. The remaining 135 suits pending on the file of the District Munsiff's Court are less than one year old.

S T A T E M E N T II

Statement showing the cases filed and disposed of on the Criminal side from 20-6-1939 to end of 24-11-39 in the Court of the District Munsiff & First Class Magistrate, C. & M. Station, Bangalore.

	Pending on 20-6-1939.	Filed from 21-6-1939 to 24-11-1939.	Disposed of from 21-6-39 to 24-11-39.	Pending on 24-11-1939.	Remarks.
I.P.C. Cases.	204	222	226	200	
Local & Special Law Cases.	67	244	270	41	
Food Adulteration Act Cases.	Nil	121	93	28	
Total	271	587	589	269	

Statement III

Institutions and Disposals in the Court of the Munsiff- Magistrate, Civil and Military Station, Bangalore.

Year.	Institutions.		Disposals.	
	Original Suits.	Criminal Cases.	Original Suits.	Criminal Cases
1937	199	836	208	826
1938	255	1106	209	1007
1939 (up to 27-11-39)	219	1169	* 171	1122

*By M.M. & Addl. M.

R-26 File No. 321
1939

Collection No.

C/A

22-5-47 MIS 22/7

P 95.

Pros. Serial Nos. 1-7

Subject.

Collection of arms license fees in cash

Amendments to Bangalore Arms Rules, 1924.

Previous References.

F. 220 A/1923.
1371/37
609/28

Later References.

S. 97.—(Green).

MFP—1663 S&P—(M-2971)—24-6-38—100,000.

IOTES AND PRECIS.

RDs **FILE No. 321 193**

Section. File No.

Heading. Serial No.

NOTES.

DEPT. BRANCH. Pros. 19 Nos.

Subject.

Collection of arms license fees in cash

Notes and Orders.

137/37

409/28

S. 1.

MFP—1406 S&P—(M-2338)—18-3-37—200,000.

1

Dy.No.3082. S.N.(1).

Dy.No.3562. S.N.(2).

1

(G).

Necessary correction has been carried out in the Office copy of the Rules at S.N.1/F.555-31. The corresponding Rule in the Bangalore Arms Rules, 1927, is Rule 22, which will require a similar amendment. If approved the Commissioner of Police may be asked to send a draft notification amending the Bangalore Arms Rules on the lines of the Home Department Notification (through the District Magistrate).

Page 192 of the Police Orders

c.p. 1 - 4 (B).

Please see S.N.1 and 2 and paras 1 to 16 of notes in F.387-37. In 1927 it was decided by this Residency for purposes of convenience to collect the fees for British India Arms licences, Export licences, etc. in cash. The present amendment to Rule 48 of the Indian Arms Rules makes this position legally correct. Presumably there is no intention on the part of the Crown Representative to alter the existing practice under which receipts on account of arms licence fees are credited to the Mysore Assigned Tract Budget. This procedure was sanctioned by the Government of India in Foreign and Political Department Memorandum No.F.76-G/28, dated the 6th June 1929, for the reasons given in letter from this Residency No.8944/409-28, dated the 21st September 1928. Those circumstances continue to exist.

Paras 9 & 10 & S.N.1&2 F.387-37 (B)

puc I.

S.N.13 (P). F.409-1928

S.N.5 (P). F.409-28

Draft submitted for approval.

J. 2 Rangagowda 15.5.39

16.5.39

16.5.39

A wd withdrawn Seen.

Chief Budget Clerk. 16.5.39

AA.

Secretary. 17.5.39

SM (3): Issue

Dy.No.6716. S.N.(4).
Dy.No.7198. S.N.(5).

S.N.4 :

Please see S.N. 163.

The amendments proposed to rule 22 of the Bangalore Arms Rules, 1924, correspond to the amendments to rule 48 of the Indian Arms Rules, 1924, by the Government of India Home Department's Notifications No.21-22-35(1), dated the 19th June 1935, and No.21/50/37(2), dated the 22nd February 1939. If approved they may be accepted and the Political Department addressed, as under section 17 of the Indian Arms Act, 1878, the power to make rules regarding the fixation of fees, etc. is reserved by the Crown Representative.

Draft letter with a draft notification submitted for approval.

S.N.5 :

The Commissioner of Police considers that by the deletion of sub-rule (2) of rule 48 of the Indian Arms Rules and the proposed amendment to rule 22 of the Bangalore Arms Rules, the question of refund of fees collected in advance remains unsettled. Please see rule 46 of the Indian Arms Rules (rule 20 of the Bangalore Arms Rules), which lays down that every licence granted or renewed under the rules shall .... be chargeable with the fee (if any) indicated in the Form in which it is granted or renewed. There is no provision either in the Act or the Rules under which fees should be recovered in advance; it need not accompany the application. Though it is accepted in advance with the application for purposes of administrative convenience, still it is intended for the licence to be granted. It appears therefore

that until the licence is actually granted, any sum paid is in the nature of an advance deposit as an earnest of good faith and intention to complete the transaction, rather than an actual fee paid for the act of granting the licence.

P.37 of S No.1 F.555/31 (S)

S.N 10/P.17 F.149-35 (R)

A reference is invited to rule 48(2) of the Indian Arms Rules before the 1935 amendment. The circumstances under which it was deleted are not known. There are no papers either in R.O. or C.O. on the point. Presumably it might have been considered unnecessary, since there was no provision for realising any fee in advance, or to charge a fee for the scrutiny of the application. It does not appear to be the intention to refuse refunds in all cases.

6.

Pue. 11

In the circumstances there seems to be no objection to following procedure 1 indicated by the Commissioner of Police, i.e. to accept fee in advance whenever tendered and to return it if a licence is refused. Procedure 2 is a cumbersome process involving unnecessary correspondence and consequential delays. Procedure 3 is apparently not the intention as pointed out in the preceding para.

7.

Flag (K)

In this connection please see S.N.9 of File D.3175/38, in which we have returned fees when the renewal of a licence was refused by this Residency. F.A. had no objection to such a course--para 12 of notes in that file.

8.

After issue of the draft to the Political Department, the Commissioner of Police may see file u.o.for favour of remarks. If necessary he may ascertain unofficially from the Madras authorities the procedure followed there. On return of the file

we may send an official reply to his present letter

Secretary.

Flag (A)

10

S.N.(6) : Issue.

Draft to Commissioner of Police submitted for approval.

Secretary

S.N.(7): Issue.

P.T.O.

Interference.

S.N.6 and the R.A.R. Dy.No.12,548. S.N.(8).

4

* S.No.6 (C) 4
F.220-A/23

Please see S.No.6. proposing an amendment to the Bangalore Arms Rules, 1924. The orders of the Political Department are awaited.

Copy at- SN-3 12
Pol. 287-39 Pencilled

S.N.1/c.p.2 W.
F.889-1936

S.N.11/c.p.18 Q.
R.Dis.287-1939

The present amendments proposed by the Commissioner of Police are based on the amendments to the Indian Arms Rules, 1924, made by the Home Department's Notifications No. F.21/7/36, dated the 23rd April 1936, and No.21/46/39, dated the 23rd August 1939. Before examining the draft it is for consideration whether the Indian Arms Rules as amended from time to time may be applied to the Civil and Military Station in place of the Bangalore Arms Rules, as in the case of the Explosives Rules. The British Indian Rules can be applied ~~en bloc~~ subject to modifications which will be necessary to suit local conditions. It appears that there will not be many such modifications. In fact the Government of India originally suggested that the British Indian Rules should be applied en bloc; but subsequently changed their attitude for reasons which were not given.(Pl. see S.Nos.7 and 9 of F.284-A/1909).

B.

Submitted for orders.

Secretary.

Comd Police may see. We'll do whatever he likes: but as the B'lore Arms Rules are printed in the Police orders, & they know them it will probably be more convenient to him to keep them

16/12/39

Comd Police U/O -

U.O. Dis. No. 12,548-39/Pol. dtd 16th Dec. 1939.

14

I think' better perhaps to keep our own Rules. The application to apply the Govt. of India Rules was rejected in 1909 but turned down by Govt. of India. (Flag B).

15

Apart from that, our existing rules allow certain concessions in the matter of import & export of arms, Ammn etc. as depicted by entries under Sch. II. of the Bangalore Arms Rules; & it might be simpler to keep our rules than to get the all. India Rules modified: However, there is no difficulty in getting suitable modifications introduced into the Indian Arms Rules, then we might adopt them & any future amendments, & settle the matter once for all. The Bangalore Police does not really matter much either way. They are largely symbolic! I would agree to what now seems the simplest & easiest course.

× Flag 'C'

OFFICE OF THE
HON'BLE THE RESIDENT
IN MYSORE, BANGALORE.
3 JAN 19[illegible]
P. & R. No 119
File No.....

Yours sincerely

Respectfully to the Hon'ble the Resident.

940.NO.2315/L/39 D 2.1.40.

Mr. Usmani

16

we can keep our own Rules.

Rueger.
3/1/40.

Please see from para 1 of notes ante.

*S.N.'s C
F 220-A(1)-1923

17

Besides rule 7, rule 12 and Schedule I of the Bangalore Arms Rules, 1924, also require modifications. A revised draft notification is submitted with a draft covering letter to the Political Department, as under section 17 of the Act the Crown Representative issues rules for the C & M Station.

P 508 of Unrepealed Legislative Acts Vol. II (1938 Edn)

(Para 17)

10.1.40

Secretary

Will Sir kindly check this & send off if it is all right?

11/1/40

19

Checked No particular point in forbidding .38 revolvers when almost all 38 small arms are pistols

Yes.

20

Spoken. We shall get very involved unless we stick to G. of I. Rules.

Issue draft.

1.

Record.

S. No. (9): Issue

CORRESPONDENCE.

OFFICE OF THE
THE RESIDENT
..., BANGALORE.
4 MAR. 1939
Diary No. 3082
File No.....

No.F.55(2)-G/39.

A copy of the undermentioned paper is forwarded to

the Hon'ble the Resident at Hyderabad,
" " in Mysore,
" " for Central India,
" " for Rajputana,
" " for the States of Western India,
" " for the Punjab States,
the Resident for Baroda and the Gujarat States,
" in Kashmir,
" at Gwalior and Political Agent for the States of Rampur and Benares,
" for the Madras States,
" Kolhapur and the Deccan States,
" the Eastern States,
the Military Adviser-in-Chief, Indian States Forces,

for information, in continuation of endorsement from the Political Department No.F.55(3)-G/38, dated the 28th December 1938.

By order, etc.

for Secretary to His Excellency
the Crown Representative.

Political Department
New Delhi

The 18th March 1939

Notification by the Home Department No.21/50/37, dated the 22nd February 1939.

(With 45 spare copies).

SL.

(2

HOME DEPARTMENT.

NOTIFICATION.

POLICE.

New Delhi, the 22nd February 1939.

No. 21/50/37.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 17 of the Indian Arms Act, 1878 (XI of 1878), the Central Government is pleased to direct that the following further amendment shall be made in the Indian Arms Rules, 1924, namely:—

In rule 48 of the said Rules, for the words "by means of non-judicial stamps or in cash at the option of the applicant" the words "in cash" shall be substituted.

F. H. PUCKLE,
Secy. to the Govt. of India.

No. 21/50/37-Police.

A copy is forwarded to the Auditor General of India, all Provincial Accountants General and Comptrollers, all Administrations, the Finance, the Defence, the Political, the External Affairs, the Communications, and the Labour Departments, the Director, Intelligence Bureau, the Director of Civil Aviation in India and the Central Board of Revenue, for information.

By order,

P. A. MENON,
Under Secy. to the Govt. of India.

GIPD—455HD—8 3-39—1,478

No.F.55(2)-G/39.

From

The Secretary to His Excellency the Crown Representative,

To

The Hon'ble the Resident at Hyderabad.
" " in Mysore.
" " for Central India.
" " for Rajputana.
" " for the States of Western India.
" " for the Punjab States.
The Resident for Baroda and the Gujarat States.
" in Kashmir.
" at Gwalior and Political Agent for the States of Rampur and Benares.
" for the Madras States.
" for Kolhapur and the Deccan States.
" for the Eastern States.

OFFICE OF THE
HON'BLE THE RESIDENT
IN MYSORE, BANGALORE.
4 APR. 1939
Diary No. 3562
File No. P.Pt. 564/39

Dated New Delhi, the 31st March, 1939.

Collection of arms licence fees in cash.

Sir,

I am directed to refer to the endorsement from the Political Department, No.F.55(2)-G/39, dated the 18th March 1939, and to say that the fees payable under Rule 46 or Rule 47 of the Indian Arms Rules, 1924, should in future be collected in cash and credited to the receipt side of the Crown Representative's budget.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

D Hamilton Hawes

for Secretary to His Excellency the Crown Representative.

Issued 14/6/39 HS

Issue 22/5

R.Dis.No.F. 321-39/Judl. Encl 4.

Dated 17th June ~~May~~ 1939.

From

The Secretary to the Resident.

To

1. The Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore, Bangalore.
2. The Dewan of Banganapalle, Banganapalle.
3. The President, State Executive Council, Sandur,
4. The District Magistrate, C&M Station, Bangalore.
5. The Commissioner of Police, C&M Station, Bangalore.

Collection of arms licence fees in cash.

Sir,

I am directed to forward for information a copy of Notification by th Government of India in the Home Department, No.21/50/37, dated the 22nd Feby 1939. All fees payable under Rule 46 or Rule 47 of the Indian Arms Rules, 1924, should ~~invariably~~ be remitted in cash in future. remitted

(2. ~~Should you consider~~ as similar amendment to the Bangalore Arms Rules, 1927, necessary, a draft notification on the lines of the Home Department Notification may be sent through the District Magistrate for the consideration of the Resident.)

I have etc.

(Sd) A.J. Courtney.

Secretary to the Resident

Copy, with enclosure, forwarded for information to the Officer i/c of t Hon'ble the Resident's Treasury, B'

Encl. to S.N.1/p.u.c.l.

S.N.2/p.u.c.II.

( ) to No.5 only.

Note to Issue Section:
Para 2 may appear in fair copies 5(4) & (5).

16.6.39

SM L

OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF POLICE,
CIVIL AND MILITARY STATION, BANGALORE.

No. 1247/L—E.1. *Dated* 23—6— *1939*

FROM

J. M. Green , Esquire, I.P.,

COMMISSIONER OF POLICE,
CIVIL AND MILITARY STATION,
BANGALORE.

OFFICE OF THE COLLECTOR & DISTRICT MAGISTRATE
619
26 JUN 1939
No.
C & M. STATION

To

The Secretary to the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore,

BANGALORE.

Through

The District Magistrate,
Civil and Military Station,
BANGALORE.

SIR,

Reference: Your letter No. R.Dis. F 321-39-Judl. dated 17-6-1939, regarding collection of Arms license fees' in cash.

A draft Notification amending Rule 22 of the Bangalore Arms Rules, 1924, on the lines of Rule 48 of the Indian Arms Rules, 1924, is sent herewith, as desired.

OFFICE OF THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE, BANGALORE.
Diary No. 6716
Dated 26/321/39

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

COMMISSIONER OF POLICE.

N.Dis.2220-C-39 Enc. 1

Forwarded.

DISTRICT MAGISTRATE.

HOME DEPARTMENT.

NOTIFICATION. Pol Title Deeds Notification

Police. --

~~New Delhi~~, the ~~22nd February 1980~~.

No In exercise of the powers conferred by section 17 of the Indian Arms Act, 1878 (XI of 1878), as applied to the Civil and Military Station, Bangalore, the ~~Central Government~~ Crown Representative is pleased to direct that the following further amendment shall be made in the Bangalore Arms Rules, 1924, namely:-

For ~~Rule 22 [(1) and (2)~~ In the said Rules, for the following rule shall be substituted, namely:- ~~Cancel the existing sub-sections (1) and (2) and substitute the following:-~~

" ~~Rule~~ Collection of fees. 22./- All fees payable under rule 20 or rule 21 shall be paid in cash. "

~~(H.K. Prasad)~~

(SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA)

~~(O.P. Tyagi)~~

COMMISSIONER OF POLICE

OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF POLICE,
CIVIL AND MILITARY STATION, BANGALORE.

No. 1247/L *Dated* 11-7-1939.

OFFICE OF THE
HON'BLE THE RESIDENT
IN MYSORE, BANGALORE.
13 JUL 1939
Diary No. 7198
File No.

FROM

J. M. Green, Esquire, I.P.,

COMMISSIONER OF POLICE,
CIVIL AND MILITARY STATION,
BANGALORE.

To

The Secretary to the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore,

BANGALORE.

SIR,

Subject: Collection and refund of fees under the Arms Act.

I have the honour to state that as the Government of India has done away with receipt of stamp paper and has ordered that cash should invariably be received, in the matter of issue of Arms Licenses, a further point arises for determination.

In the Indian Arms Rules, 1924, there was a sub-rule - Rule 48 (2) regarding refund of fees, when licenses were refused. This sub-rule is now deleted (H.D.Notification No. 21-22-35 dated 19th June 1935). Corresponding to this sub-rule, there is sub-rule 22(2) in the Bangalore Arms Rules, 1924, which is still in force and has not been deleted. A reference has now been made vide this office letter No.1247/L dated 23-6-1939 to delete sub-rules 22(1) and (2). When this draft is approved, the question of refund of fees collected remains unsettled, both in the Indian Arms Rules and the Bangalore Arms Rules.

Now, fees are received in this office either for renewal or fresh grant of licenses, in some cases direct by money orders, and in others through servants or agents of the applicants or license holders,, or the parties themselves The licenses which are generaly granted here are a major portion under the Indian Arms Rules and only a few under the Bangalore Arms Rules.

It is not

It is not definitely stated whether it is the intention of the Governemnt of India that fees collected are not to be refunded when licenses are refused or whether fees should not be collected before licenses or re-newals are sanctioned.

Orders are therefore requested as to what procedure should be followed Viz: whether:-

1. To receive the fees which are not asked to be sent but which are usually tendered along with applications by M.O. or in person and to return the same if a license or re-newal is refused or the party concerned directed to apply to another licensing authority, or

2. to refuse receipt of the amount until the license or re-newal of a license of a licensee who has left the station is sanctioned, or

3. to receive the fee and not refund when the licenses are refused etc.,

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

COMMISSIONER OF POLICE

10/7

(9)

F.321(2)

DEPARTMENT.

BRANCH.

File No.

Serial No.

Issued 24/7

Draft Letter / Memorandum / Telegram

R.Dis. 39

Class for telegrams: INLAND: Immediate / Important / Express / Ordinary | FOREIGN: Immediate / Important / Ordinary / Without priority

No. F.321-1/Judl. Dated 24th. July 1939

From

The Hon'ble the Resident.

To

The Secretary to H.E. the Crown Representaitve, Simla.

Amendment to the Bangalore Arms Rules, 1924.

Sir,

I have the honour to refer to your letter No.F.55(2)-G/39, dated the 31st March 1939.

2. I enclose for the consideration of His Excellency the Crown Representative a draft notification amending rule 22 of the Bangalore Arms Rules, 1924, issued with Notification of the Government of India in the late Foreign and Political Department, No.260-G., dated the 31st May 1924. The amendment is based on the Home Department's Notifications No.21/22/35, dated the 19th June 1935, and No.21/50/37, dated the 22nd February 1939.

I have etc.,

(Sd). H. TREVELYAN.

for R E S I D E N T.

To be issued on | Issued on

1st Reminder

2nd Reminder

3rd Reminder

Heading:

Case or Diary No.

If post copy of telegram required

Date of despatch of above

List of enclosures

S.N.2 (S).
c.p.3
(Code word for telegrams.)

S.N.6 (T).
F.220-A(1)-23.

S.N.10/c.p.17 (R).
F.149 of 1935.

S.N.1/c.p.2 (S).
R.Dis.F.321-39.

S. 5.
MGIPC—S5--1705(S&P)MFP—(M-944)—6-3-39—60,000 Pads.

21.7.39

21.7.39.

(10

Draft.

POLITICAL DEPARTMENT.

---:0:---

NOTIFICATION.

No... Date.....

In exercise of the powers conferred by section 17 of the Indian Arms Act, 1878 (XI of 1878), as applied to the Civil and Military Station of Bangalore, the Crown Representative is pleased to direct that the following further amendment shall be made in the Bangalore Arms Rules, 1924, namely:-

For rule 22 of the said Rules the following rule shall be substituted, namely:-

"22. Collection of fees : All fees payable under rule 20 or rule 21 shall be paid in cash."

Secy to H. E. the Crown Representative.

21.7.39.

21.7.39

The reply to this communication should be addressed to the Secretary to the Resident in Mysore, Bangalore, by designation and not by name.

R.Dis. No. F.321-39 Judl.

(14)

FROM

THE SECRETARY TO THE HON'BLE THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE.

To

The Commissioner of Police,

Civil and Military Station, Bangalore.

Dated Bangalore, the 28th July *19* 39.

Collection of fees under the Indian Arms Rules, 1924, and the Bangalore Arms Rules, 1924.

SIR,

Secy. S (5) Cp Y.

I am directed to refer to your letter No.1247/L, dated the 11th July 1939.

2. Licence fees may be received in advance. A refund should be allowed where a fee has been realised, and the application for the grant or renewal of a licence or duplicate is refused.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

o/c

SECRETARY TO THE RESIDENT.

BJ

No. 2315/2 Ecl

Office of the Commissioner of Police,
Civil and Military Station, Bangalore.

Dated, 11th December 1939.

From

J. M. Green, Esquire, I.P.

Commissioner of Police,
Civil and Military Station,
BANGALORE.

To

The Secretary
to the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore,
BANGALORE.

Sir,

Subject: The Bangalore Arms Rules, 1924 - amendments thereto

I have the honour to enclose herewith a draft of proposed amendments to the Bangalore Arms Rules, 1924, in concurrence with the Indian Arms Rules, 1924.

OFFICE OF THE
HON'BLE THE RESIDENT
IN MYSORE, BANGALORE.
12 DEC. 1939
Diary No. 12528
File No. [illegible]

321/39

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

COMMISSIONER OF POLICE.

DRAFT NOTIFICATION.

No. Dated 1939

In exercise of the powers conferred by section 17 of the Indian Arms Act, 1878 (XI of 1878), as applied to the C & M Station of Bangalore, the Crown Representative is pleased to direct that the following further amendments shall be made in the Bangalore Arms Rules, 1924, namely:-

1. In the proviso to sub rule (1) of Rule 7 after the words "intermediate bore", the words and figures "or revolvers of .38 bore"shall be inserted.

2. In the proviso to sub-rule 2 of rule 7, after the figures and words "450 bore", the words and figures "or muskets of .410 bore" and after the words "such rifles" the words "or muskets", shall be inserted.

SECRETARY TO G OF I.

Commissioner of Police
Bangalore Station

SN 9

DEPARTMENT.

BRANCH.

File No.

Serial No.

Issued 16/1/40 | SSw 12/1

Draft Letter / Memorandum / Telegram

R.Dis.No.F.321-39 Judl.

INLAND:	FOREIGN:
Immediate	Immediate
Important	Important
Express	Ordinary
Ordinary	Without priority

Class for telegrams

No. Dated 16th Jan. 1940

From The Hon'ble the Resident

To

The Secretary to H.E. the Crown Representative, New Delhi

Amendments to the Bangalore Arms Rules, 1924.

To be issued on

Issued on

1st Reminder

2nd Reminder

3rd Reminder

Heading:

Case or Diary No.

If post copy of telegram required

Date of despatch of above

List of enclosures

(Code word for telegrams.)

S.N.6 S.
c.p.9

Sir,

I have the honour to refer to my letter R.Dis.No.F.321-39/Judl., dated the 24th July 1939.

2. I enclose for the consideration of H.E. the Crown Representative a draft notification further amending the Bangalore Arms Rules, 1924. The amendments are based on the Home Department's Notifications No.F.21/7/36, dated the 23rd April 1936, and No.21/46/39, dated the 23rd August 1939.

I have etc.,

(sd) D. H. Biscoe,

for RESIDENT.

S.N.6
F.220-A(1)-23.

Enc. to S.N.1/c.p.2
F.889-36.
E.

Enc.to S.N.11/c.p.18
R.Dis.F.287-39
Q.

S. 5.
MG1PC—S5—1595(S&P)MFP—(M-944)—6-3-39—60,000 Pads.

16.1.40

POLITICAL DEPARTMENT.

---:0:---

NOTIFICATION.

No... Date....

In exercise of the powers conferred by section 17 of the Indian Arms Act, 1878 (XI of 1878), as applied to the Civil and Military Station of Bangalore, the Crown Representative is pleased to direct that the following futher amendments shall be made in the Bangalore Arms Rules, 1924, namely:-

I. In the said Rules

(1) in the proviso to sub-rule (1) of rule 7 and proviso (i) to rule 12, after the words "intermediate bore" the words and figure "or revolvers of .38 bore" shall be inserted;

(2) fnrthe proviso to sub-rule (2) of rule 7, after the figure and word ".450 bore" the words and figure "or muskets of .410 bore" and after the words "such rifles" the words ~~xxx fixxxxx xxxxxxxx~~ "or muskets" shall be inserted; and

(3) in proviso (i) to rule 12, after the words "for rifles" the word "muskets" shall be inserted

II.

× P. 508 of unrepealed Central Act VII of 1878

SN.6 C.
F. 220-A(1)-23

× Corresfond. to rules 28 & 33 of the Indian Arms Rules (Pl. See S.N. 11/Cp. 18 Rdis 287-39 Q.

(Pl-See S.N. 1/Cp.2) F. 889-36 E.

Pl-See S.N. 11/Cp 18 R Dis. 287-39 Q.

Pl. See S.N. 11/Cp.18 R&I 287-39 and S.N. 1/Cp 2 F. 889-36

II. In the second column of Schedule I annexed to the said Rules in clause (d) of the entries relating to items (2) and (3), after the words and figure "~~xxxxxxxxxxx xxx xxxxx~~ " 450 bore" the words and figure "muskets of .410 bore" and after the words "intermediate bore" the words and figure "or revolvers of .38 bore" shall be inserted.

Secretary to H.E. the
Crown Representative.

10.1.40

POLITICAL DEPARTMENT.

Notification.

No.308-G. Dated Simla, the 17th August 1939

In exercise of the powers conferred by section 17 of the Indian Arms Act, 1878 (XI of 1878), as applied to the Civil and Military Station of Bangalore, the Crown Representative is pleased to direct that the following further amendment shall be made in the Bangalore Arms Rules, 1924, namely :-

For rule 22 of the said Rules, the following rule shall be substituted, namely :-

"22. Collection of fees : All fees payable under rule 20 or rule 21 shall be paid in cash".

(Gazette of India, Pt. I-A., dated the 19th August 1939 - P.155.)